Managing Plants as Transient Recombinant Protein Factories

Jean VanderGheynst and Christopher Simmons Biological and Agricultural Engineering UC Davis

Graduate students:

Larry Joh (PhD 2005), Yik Lam (MS 2006), Christopher Simmons (MS 2007) Collaborators: Nick Ewing (CSUS), Karen McDonald (UCD) Staff and Postdoctoral Scholars: Hongyun Guo (UCD), Taduesz Wroblewski (UCD)

Our Initial Research Objectives

2002

- Develop expression system for mouse anti-human IgG 2a in tomato fruit (CSUS)
- Develop bench-scale extraction and purification processes
- Model process scale-up to 10 kg/yr
 - is a tomato-based process feasible?
- PhD for Larry Joh
 - Collaborators: Nicholas Ewing (CSUS) and Antibodies Inc., Davis, CA



Why Processing Tomatoes?

- Low risk of cross pollination
- Economic production in greenhouses year round
 - minimize contamination of food supply
 processing plant can be operated year
 - round

Our Initial Research Objectives

- Develop expression system for mouse anti-human IgG 2a in tomato fruit (CSUS)
 - After >1 year attempting to transform tomato with gene encoding antibody, we learned our plant/seed source was preventing us from selecting transformants

SEEDS OF DOUBT BY THE SACRAMENTO BEE

UCD cleared in seed mix-up

Researchers unknowingly sent out altered tomato seeds for seven years.

By Mike Lee -- Bee Staff Writer

Published Wednesday, Dec. 1, 2004

Two West Coast mix-ups involving genetically engineered seeds ended with modest fines for two companies and no fault for the University of California, Davis, according to federal records made public Tuesday. Oxnard-based Seminis Inc., the world's largest fruit and vegetable seed company, and The Scotts Co. of Marysville, Ohio. a grass seed glant, are on the hook for penalties totaling 55,625 for violations of rules set to contain biotech genes.

to contain blotech genes. The fines are toward the low end of the scale for the U.S. Department of Agriculture, which oversees blotecher and tests and movement of plants betweep states. In 2002, for instance, the USDA final

Recombinant Protein Expression in Plants

- Transgenic plants
 - stable protein expression
 - demonstrated technology
 - easy scale-up
 - environmental issues
- Transient expression ("agroinfiltration")
 - temporary protein expression
 - can be accomplished in post-harvest tissues
 - addresses environmental and contamination risks
 - lack of engineering data
 - requires bacterial production

What Became Our Research Objective 2003-05

 Collect engineering data to enable consistent expression of recombinant proteins via agroinfiltration

Identify factors and corresponding levels critical for expression

- Vacuum application
- A. tumefaciens concentration
- Surfactant
- Light vs. dark
- Temperature
- Examine kinetics of recombinant protein expression upon infiltration
- Determine protein stability during leaf storage and upon protein extraction



Recombinant Protein (GUS) Expression upon Incubation



Agroinfiltration Engineering Data

Maximum expression was observed 3 days post infiltration.

Infiltrated tissues incubated in the dark had comparable expression to tissues incubated in the light



Joh, L. et al. 2005. High-level transient expression of recombinant protein in lettuce. Biotechnology and Bioengineering. 91(7). 861-871





Current Research

- Elucidating key steps in agroinfiltration
 - Physical steps
 - Bringing A. tumefaciens into contact with the plant
 - Biological steps
 - Protein and DNA transport during conjugation



























10/22/2008





Objective 1

Develop a quantitative model describing the kinetics of T-strand transfer through the pathway.

Objective 2

Develop a methodology for studying T-strand movement through key steps of the transfer pathway over time.

Objective 3

Apply methodology to study T-strand transfer during the agroinfiltration of a commercially relevant plant.











10/22/2008

$$\frac{dX_{1}}{dt} = \alpha_{1} - \beta_{1}X_{1}^{k^{11}}X_{2}^{h_{2}} \qquad \qquad \frac{dX_{7}}{dt} = \alpha_{7}X_{6}^{B_{21}}X_{10}^{B_{71}} - \beta_{7}X_{7}^{b_{71}}$$

$$\frac{dX_{2}}{dt} = \alpha_{2} - \beta_{2}X_{1}^{h_{21}}X_{2}^{h_{22}} \qquad \qquad \frac{dX_{8}}{dt} = \alpha_{8} - \beta_{8}X_{8}^{h_{8}}$$

$$\frac{dX_{3}}{dt} = \alpha_{3}X_{1}^{B_{21}}X_{2}^{B_{22}} - \beta_{3}X_{3}^{h_{21}}X_{4}^{h_{21}}X_{8}^{h_{8}} \qquad \qquad \frac{dX_{9}}{dt} = \alpha_{9} - \beta_{9}X_{9}^{h_{8}}$$

$$\frac{dX_{4}}{dt} = \alpha_{4}X_{5}^{B_{22}} - \beta_{4}X_{3}^{h_{21}}X_{4}^{h_{21}}X_{8}^{h_{8}} \qquad \qquad \frac{dX_{10}}{dt} = \alpha_{10} - \beta_{10}X_{10}^{h_{020}}$$

$$\frac{dX_{5}}{dt} = \alpha_{5}X_{3}^{B_{21}}X_{4}^{B_{22}}X_{8}^{h_{8}} - \beta_{5}X_{5}^{h_{8}}$$

$$\frac{dX_{6}}{dt} = \alpha_{6}X_{5}^{B_{22}}X_{9}^{B_{22}} - \beta_{5}X_{6}^{h_{8}}X_{10}^{h_{820}}$$







10/22/2008

















